



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,658	03/04/2002		Juan-Antonio Sanchez-Herrero	53806-00005USPT	7238
27045 EDICSSON I	7045 7590 02/27/2007 ERICSSON INC.			EXAM	INER
6300 LEGAC	Y DRIVE		NGUYEN, THANH T		
M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2144	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				02/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/091,658	SANCHEZ-HERRERO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tammy T. Nguyen	2144		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 01 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed. may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). Considerations 7. \boxtimes For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \square will not be entered, or b) \boxtimes will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 1-37. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Raper No(s) 13.
Other: See Continuation Sheet. SUPERVISORY PATEIN. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants argue that Philyaw does not disclose a plurality of user identifiers to identify the user under different service environment. In response to Applicant's argument, the Patent Examiner maintains the rejection because Philyaw discloses a plurality of user identifiers to identify the user under different service environment as shown in Philyaw col.26, lines 1-29 and col.28, lines 1-20 (the software hosted on the PC may also track the user activities and interests as the user visits various web sites disposed on the response to certain aspects of the user profile obtained form the CRS database, and storing all user unique lds and associated user profiles obtained during the registration process). Therefore, Philyaw clearly discloses the application claimed invention.

Applicants argue that Philyaw does not teaches a single user with multiple user identifiers. In response to Applicant's argument, the Patent examiner maintains the rejection because Philyaw discloses a single user with mulitiple user identifiers as shown in col.21, lines 25-34 (the user ID 1806 which identifies the particular user using the wand 1600, and bar code information 1802 describing a particular product of interest to the user). Therefore, Philyaw clearly discloses the application claimed invention.

Applicants argue that Philyaw does not discloses flexible distribution of a plurality of user identifiers for the same user among a number of network servers. In responses to Applicant's argument, the Patent examiner maintains the rejection becauses Philyaw discloses flexible distribution of a plurality of user identifiers for the same user among a number of network servers as shown in col.16, lines 26-49 (A bar code scanning wand 1600 is provided by a wand distributor to customers and is associated with that distributor via a wand ID stored therein. The wand 1600 is either sold or freely distributed to customers for use with their personal computing systems. Since more and more products are being sold using bar codes, it can be appreciated that a user having the wand 1600 can scan bar codes of a multitude of products in order to obtain more information).

> WILLIAM VAUGHN **SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

TECHNOLO GENTER 2100